




The Fracture

See the break before it happens.



Your AI controls can pass every test. And still be breaking.

Governance integrity deteriorates long before a formal control fails. Traditional dashboards show you the surface; they cannot measure the invisible accumulation of fatigue underneath.



Governance is measured like a photograph. Failure arrives like a film.

Inventories, validations, and attestations prove what exists at a moment in time. They do not show the accumulation of weak decisions, overloaded reviewers, hidden model uncertainty, or expanding machine authority.




Governance fatigue intelligence



Not another governance score. An early-warning system built specifically for Boards, AI Leaders, Risk, and Internal Audit to capture synthetic signals and active control over AI assets.

Assurance becomes action through trajectory.




The structure rarely breaks where the policy is strongest.

It breaks where operating pressure has accumulated without a measurement. AI governance does not fail because of a lack of frameworks; it fails because the day-to-day strain on those frameworks is invisible to traditional audits.



The Four Forces of Failure




The active forces pulling at AI governance integrity, accelerating the rate of decay over time.

Force 01 — Entropy (Trace decay)

Can the decision still be reconstructed?


As models evolve and decisions compound, the original logic trails and historical justifications begin to scatter. Entropy measures the loss of this vital trace data, exposing the organization to unexplainable outcomes.



Force 02 — Load (Capacity stress)

Which people are silently load-bearing?

Governance relies on human judgment. Load measures the hidden capacity stress on the individuals tasked with review and oversight, identifying where reviewer fatigue will cause the next critical control failure.



Force 03 — Candor (Truth erosion)

Will the model disclose when it is wrong?

Modern AI systems are designed to present outputs with high confidence, even when uncertain. Candor measures the erosion of truth-telling capabilities, identifying hidden model uncertainty masked by confident presentation.



Force 04 — Drift (Boundary shift)

Where has capability outrun authority?

Models learn, adapt, and scale.
Drift tracks the slow, unauthorized
expansion of a system's actual capabilities
beyond the strict boundaries of its original
governance approval.




Time is the fourth dimension. Drag the horizon to reveal the break.

The Fracture measures the trajectory of decay. It turns invisible deterioration into a precise decision window with verifiable proof, assigned ownership, and a hard return date before the structural failure occurs.



Drag the horizon




Leave with a decision, not a dashboard.

Every output from the system is designed to force the next management action, generating a sealed baseline, surviving evidence, an accountable repair, and a board-ready brief.

One signal. Eight linked decisions.

Follow a fictional governance case from the first weak signal to a board-ready decision in three minutes.



The question for your next governance meeting:

**Which AI control is
failing slowly enough
to look healthy?**